

Corrections and Comments on the Multipole Moments of Axisymmetric Electrovacuum Spacetimes

Thomas P. Sotiriou[†] and Theodoros A. Apostolatos[‡]

Section of Astrophysics, Astronomy, and Mechanics

Department of Physics

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens

Panepistimiopolis, Zografos GR-15783, Athens, Greece

Abstract. Following the method of Hoenselaers and Perjés we present a new corrected and dimensionally consistent set of multipole gravitational and electromagnetic moments for stationary axisymmetric spacetimes. Furthermore, we use our results to compute the multipole moments, both gravitational and electromagnetic, of a Kerr-Newman black hole.

1. Introduction

After Ernst's first paper on reformulating Einstein's equations for stationary and axisymmetric spacetimes (i) without [1], and (ii) with electromagnetic field [2], Geroch and Hansen [3, 4] defined the multipole moments of static and stationary spacetimes respectively, by measuring the deviation of the geometry from flatness in the neighborhood of a point at infinity. Their definition of the moments is equivalent to the one introduced by Thorne in [5]. Later, Simon and Beig [6] reconstructed the moments from the series expansion of field variables, and Simon [7] generalized them so as to include electrovacuum spacetimes. Especially the axisymmetric spacetimes that Ernst studied are interesting physically, and can be fully described by a number of scalar moments, in the same way that newtonian gravitational potentials are characterized by their mass multipole moments.

In 1990 Hoenselaers and Perjés [8] generalized the work of Fodor et al [9] on vacuum gravitational moments to cases where spacetime is endowed with an electromagnetic field that retains the axisymmetry of the metric. Although the generalization method they used was right, an incorrect intermediate formula led to the contamination of the final expressions for the moments with errors. In our paper we have tried to (i) determine the root of these errors, (ii) give a few extra intermediate relations that are used in the procedure of computing the moments, and (iii) correct the final expressions

[†] e-mail:tsotiri@phys.uoa.gr

[‡] e-mail:tapol@cc.uoa.gr

that relate the gravitational and electromagnetic multipole moments of spacetime with the power series coefficients of the gravitational and electromagnetic potentials. The final expressions for the gravitational and electromagnetic moments may be important for people that are looking for approximate expressions for the metric of stationary and axisymmetric sources that depend on a few physical parameters of the source. We actually needed these expressions when we tried to extend [10] Ryan's study [11] on ways to determine the moments of a compact object from gravitational wave data analysis, to axisymmetric spacetimes with electromagnetic fields. The dimensional inconsistency of the moments of [8], that we were to use, drew our attention and we set out to thoroughly check the whole procedure that Hoenselaers and Perjés followed in their paper.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we briefly repeat the basic steps of the method used, giving a few extra intermediate expressions that may be useful to the reader. Although most intermediate steps can be found in a number of previous articles, the plethora of different conventions and notations used by different authors, urged us to systematically present the ones we used to calculate the moments. In Section 3 we present the first five gravitational and electromagnetic moments as functions of the power series coefficients of the gravitational and electromagnetic potentials. A number of comments on the terms that show up at each moment have been added at the end. In Section 4 we compute once again the first five power series coefficients of the mass and electromagnetic potentials of a pole-dipole source as in [8]. Finally, in Section 5 we use the whole technique to compute the moments of the Kerr-Newman metric, from the knowledge of the metric along its axis of symmetry. The gravitational moments are exactly like in Kerr metric, while the electromagnetic moments are simply e/m times the corresponding gravitational moments.

2. Ernst functions and moments

The metric of a stationary axisymmetric spacetime could be described by Papapetrou's line element [12]

$$ds^2 = -F(dt - \omega d\phi)^2 + F^{-1} [e^{2\gamma}(d\rho^2 + dz^2) + \rho^2 d\phi^2], \quad (1)$$

where F, ω , and γ are functions of ρ and z . Assuming that spacetime is asymptotically flat, $\gamma \rightarrow 0$, $F \rightarrow 1$, and $\omega \rightarrow 0$ at infinity. As Ernst has shown, any axially symmetric solution of the coupled Einstein - Maxwell equations can be described by two complex functions $\mathcal{E}$ and Φ which are related with the metric functions F and ω and the components of the electromagnetic potential A_t , A_ϕ (cf., Eqs. (8,9,11,14) of [2]). The third metric function γ can be deduced by using the two remaining Einstein equations.

Now, instead of $\mathcal{E}$ and Φ we use the complex Ernst potentials ξ, q , which are the analogues of newtonian gravitational potential and Coulomb potential respectively,

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1 - \xi}{1 + \xi}, \quad \Phi = \frac{q}{1 + \xi}. \quad (2)$$

Note that the former relation is the opposite of Ernst (cf., [1, 2]), and thus our ξ is the inverse of Ernst's ξ_E . It is easy to show that the Einstein equation for $\mathcal{E}$ and Φ [8] take the following form for ξ and q

$$(\xi\xi^* - qq^* - 1)\nabla^2\xi = 2(\xi^*\nabla\xi - q^*\nabla q) \cdot \nabla\xi \quad (3)$$

$$(\xi\xi^* - qq^* - 1)\nabla^2q = 2(\xi^*\nabla\xi - q^*\nabla q) \cdot \nabla q. \quad (4)$$

By $*$ we denote the complex conjugate. The Ricci tensor of the three-metric is given by [8]

$$(\xi\xi^* - qq^* - 1)^2 R_{ij} = 2\Re(\nabla_i\xi\nabla_j\xi^* - \nabla_iq\nabla_jq^* + s_i s_j^*), \quad (5)$$

where

$$s_i = \xi\nabla_i q - q\nabla_i\xi. \quad (6)$$

∇_i denotes the components of the gradient, and $\Re()$ denotes the real part.

In order to measure the moments of an asymptotically flat spacetime, according to Geroch-Hansen procedure [3, 4], we map the initial three-metric to a conformal one

$$h_{ij} \rightarrow \tilde{h}_{ij} = \Omega^2 h_{ij}. \quad (7)$$

The conformal factor Ω should satisfy the following conditions: $\Omega|_\Lambda = \tilde{D}_i\Omega|_\Lambda = 0$ and $\tilde{D}_i\tilde{D}_j\Omega|_\Lambda = 2h_{ij}|_\Lambda$, where Λ is the point added to the initial manifold that represents infinity. Ω transforms ξ and q potentials to

$$\tilde{\xi} = \Omega^{-1/2}\xi, \quad \tilde{q} = \Omega^{-1/2}q. \quad (8)$$

By a coordinate transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho} &= \frac{\rho}{\rho^2 + z^2}, \\ \bar{z} &= \frac{z}{\rho^2 + z^2}, \\ \bar{\phi} &= \phi \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

we bring infinity at the origin of the axes $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{z}) = (0, 0)$. Then, by choosing the conformal factor to be

$$\Omega = \bar{r}^2 = \bar{\rho}^2 + \bar{z}^2, \quad (10)$$

the conformal metric in the new coordinates takes the following form

$$\tilde{h}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\gamma} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{\rho}^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

which is flat at $\bar{r} = 0$, since $\gamma|_{\bar{r}=0} = 0$. The Ricci tensor of this conformally transformed three-geometry in barred coordinates is

$$(\bar{r}^2\tilde{\xi}^*\tilde{\xi} - \bar{r}^2\tilde{q}^*\tilde{q} - 1)^2 \tilde{R}_{ij} = 2\Re(D_i\tilde{\xi}D_j\tilde{\xi}^* - D_i\tilde{q}D_j\tilde{q}^* + \tilde{s}_i\tilde{s}_j^*), \quad (12)$$

as one could verify by a tedious but straightforward calculation, with

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{D}_1 &= \bar{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\rho}} - \bar{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \\ \tilde{D}_2 &= \bar{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\rho}} + \bar{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} + 1 \\ \tilde{s}_i &= \bar{r}(\tilde{\xi} D_i \tilde{q} - \tilde{q} D_i \tilde{\xi}).\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

The $\tilde{D}_i$'s are the $\tilde{\nabla}_i$'s of [8] with their indices interchanged. Notice that this has exactly the same form as Eq. (5) if we replace the free (not differentiated) ξ 's and q 's with $\bar{r}\tilde{\xi}$ and $\bar{r}\tilde{q}$, respectively, while the differentiated ξ 's and q 's simply with $\tilde{\xi}$ and $\tilde{q}$, respectively. In Eq. (6) of [8] which was used to evaluate the s_i 's, the free ξ and q were replaced by $\tilde{\xi}$ and $\tilde{q}$, instead of $\bar{r}\tilde{\xi}$ and $\bar{r}\tilde{q}$, to get the $\tilde{s}_i$'s. This is exactly what caused the dimensional inconsistency in the final expressions for the moments in [8]. The field equations (3,4) keep exactly their form in the conformal metric if expressed in the barred coordinates, by using the same replacements.

The tensorial multipole moments of the electrovacuum spacetime are computed by the recursive relation (see [9])

$$\begin{aligned}P^{(0)} &= \tilde{\xi}, \\ P_i^{(1)} &= \tilde{\xi}_{,i}, \\ P_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{n+1}}^{(n+1)} &= \mathcal{C}[\tilde{\nabla}_{i_{n+1}} P_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{(n)} - \frac{1}{2}n(2n-1)R_{i_1 i_2} P_{i_3 \dots i_{n+1}}^{(n-1)}],\end{aligned}\tag{14}$$

for the geometry, and

$$\begin{aligned}Q^{(0)} &= \tilde{q}, \\ Q_i^{(1)} &= \tilde{q}_{,i}, \\ Q_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{n+1}}^{(n+1)} &= \mathcal{C}[\tilde{\nabla}_{i_{n+1}} Q_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{(n)} - \frac{1}{2}n(2n-1)R_{i_1 i_2} Q_{i_3 \dots i_{n+1}}^{(n-1)}].\end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

for the electromagnetic field. The symbol $\tilde{\nabla}$ is used to denote the covariant derivative in the conformal space and it should not be confused with the same symbol used in [8]. The operator $\mathcal{C}$ denotes the operation “symmetrize over all free indices and take the trace-free part”. Note that all tensors should be evaluated at Λ (at infinity). Due to axisymmetry, the components of these tensorial moments are multiples of the corresponding scalar moments which are the projection of the tensorial moments on the axis of symmetry. Following Fodor *et al* [9], the scalar moments are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}P_n &= \frac{1}{n!} \tilde{P}_{2\dots 2}^{(n)} \Big|_{\Lambda} \\ Q_n &= \frac{1}{n!} \tilde{Q}_{2\dots 2}^{(n)} \Big|_{\Lambda}.\end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

Note that these moments have the opposite sign from the ones defined by Hansen [4]. The gravitational scalar moments are then simply given by

$$P_n = \frac{1}{(2n-1)!!} S_0^{(n)},\tag{17}$$

where $S_0^{(n)}$ are computed by the recursive relations (23) of [9]. The electromagnetic scalar moments Q_n are computed from exactly the same recursive formulae, if we replace the initial term $S_0^{(0)}$ with $\tilde{q}$ instead of $\tilde{\xi}$. In order to use these recursive formulae one needs also the derivatives of γ which could be expressed as linear combinations of the components of the Ricci tensor which is given in Eq. (12)

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_1 &\equiv \gamma_{,\bar{\rho}} = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\rho}(\tilde{R}_{\bar{\rho}\bar{\rho}} - \tilde{R}_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}), \\ \gamma_2 &\equiv \gamma_{,\bar{z}} = \bar{\rho}\tilde{R}_{\bar{\rho}\bar{z}}.\end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

We end up this section by writing both ξ and q as power series of $\bar{\rho}, \bar{z}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\xi} &= \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} a_{ij} \bar{\rho}^i \bar{z}^j, \\ \tilde{q} &= \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} b_{ij} \bar{\rho}^i \bar{z}^j,\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

where a_{ij} and b_{ij} vanish when i is odd (this reflects the analyticity of both potentials at the axis of symmetry). Because of Einstein's field equations (see Eqs. (3,4) and the discussion for the tilded version of them which follows after Eq. (13)), the coefficients in the above power series are algebraically interrelated:

$$\begin{aligned}(r+2)^2 a_{r+2,s} &= -(s+2)(s+1)a_{r,s+2} + \\ &\quad + \sum_{k,l,m,n,p,g} (a_{kl}a_{mn}^* - b_{kl}b_{mn}^*) \times \\ &\quad \times [a_{pg}(p^2 + g^2 - 4p - 5g - 2pk - 2gl - 2) + \\ &\quad + a_{p+2,g-2}(p+2)(p+2-2k) + \\ &\quad + a_{p-2,g+2}(g+2)(g+1-2l)],\end{aligned}\tag{20}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(r+2)^2 b_{r+2,s} &= -(s+2)(s+1)b_{r,s+2} + \\ &\quad + \sum_{k,l,m,n,p,g} (a_{kl}a_{mn}^* - b_{kl}b_{mn}^*) \times \\ &\quad \times [b_{pg}(p^2 + g^2 - 4p - 5g - 2pk - 2gl - 2) + \\ &\quad + b_{p+2,g-2}(p+2)(p+2-2k) + \\ &\quad + b_{p-2,g+2}(g+2)(g+1-2l)],\end{aligned}\tag{21}$$

where $m = r - k - p$, $0 \leq k \leq r$, $0 \leq p \leq r - k$, with k and p even, and $n = s - l - g$, $0 \leq l \leq s + 1$, and $-1 \leq g \leq s - l$. These recursive relations could build the whole power series of $\tilde{\xi}$ and $\tilde{q}$ from their value on the axis of symmetry

$$\tilde{\xi}(\bar{\rho} = 0) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} m_i \bar{z}^i, \quad \tilde{q}(\bar{\rho} = 0) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q_i \bar{z}^i.\tag{22}$$

Thus from $\tilde{\xi}(\bar{\rho} = 0)$ and $\tilde{q}(\bar{\rho} = 0)$, we can read the multipole moments of spacetime. In the next section we will write the expressions that connect the multipole moments of both types with the m_i 's and q_i 's.

3. The multipole moments of stationary axisymmetric electrovacuum spacetimes

Following the procedure that is outlined in Section 2 we compute the first five gravitational and electromagnetic multipole moments in terms of a_{ij} and b_{ij} . Then the algebraic relations between a_{ij} and b_{ij} are used in order to express the moments in terms of $m_i \equiv a_{0i}$ and $q_i \equiv b_{0i}$. If we define the following useful quantities

$$\begin{aligned} M_{ij} &= m_i m_j - m_{i-1} m_{j+1}, \\ Q_{ij} &= q_i q_j - q_{i-1} q_{j+1}, \\ S_{ij} &= m_i q_j - m_{i-1} q_{j+1}, \\ H_{ij} &= q_i m_j - q_{i-1} m_{j+1} \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

the gravitational moments as functions of the power series coefficients of $\tilde{\xi}$ along the symmetry axis are given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 &= m_0, \\ P_1 &= m_1, \\ P_2 &= m_2, \\ P_3 &= m_3, \\ P_4 &= m_4 - \frac{1}{7} m_0^* M_{20} + \frac{1}{7} q_0^* S_{20} - \frac{3}{70} q_1^* S_{10}, \\ P_5 &= m_5 - \frac{1}{3} m_0^* M_{30} - \frac{1}{21} m_1^* M_{20} + \frac{1}{3} q_0^* S_{30} + \frac{4}{21} q_0^* S_{21} - \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{21} q_1^* S_{11} - \frac{1}{21} q_2^* S_{10}, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

while the electromagnetic moments as functions of the power series coefficients of $\tilde{q}$ along the symmetry axis are given by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0 &= q_0, \\ Q_1 &= q_1, \\ Q_2 &= q_2, \\ Q_3 &= q_3, \\ Q_4 &= q_4 + \frac{1}{7} q_0^* Q_{20} - \frac{1}{7} m_0^* H_{20} + \frac{3}{70} m_1^* H_{10}, \\ Q_5 &= q_5 + \frac{1}{3} q_0^* Q_{30} + \frac{1}{21} q_1^* Q_{20} - \frac{1}{3} m_0^* H_{30} - \frac{4}{21} m_0^* H_{21} + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{21} m_1^* H_{11} + \frac{1}{21} m_2^* H_{10}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

One could make the following comments on the expressions above:

- (i) While the quadratic quantities M_{ij}, Q_{ij} are independent quantities, S_{ij}, H_{ij} are not completely independent of each other. The following obvious relations between them hold

$$S_{ij} = -H_{j+1 \ i-1}. \quad (26)$$

Thus, although the introduction of four quantities looks like a redundancy this gives a symmetric form in the two sets of moments.

- (ii) The expressions for the moments coincide with the expressions of [9], if there is no electromagnetic field.
- (iii) The different expressions we have in P_4, Q_4, P_5, Q_5 moments is due to incorrect replacement of q, ξ with $\tilde{q}, \tilde{\xi}$ in s_i in Eq. (5). As was noted in Section 2, the new expressions for the moments are now dimensionally right (see note (v) below).
- (iv) From the form of the expressions we notice that there is a symmetry between gravitational and electromagnetic moments. The electromagnetic moments arise from the corresponding gravitational moments if we interchange q_i with m_i , replace Q_{ij} with M_{ij} and S_{ij} with H_{ij} , and reverse the signs of all but the single m_i, q_i terms.
- (v) Since ξ should be dimensionless, m_i and q_i should have dimensions $[M]^{i+1}$, and hence all terms in each moment have the same (sum of indices) + (number of indices). This simple observation gives us the capability of predicting what kind of terms we expect at each moment. This dimensional analysis makes it clear that the expressions (27,28) of [8] contain incorrect terms.

4. A spinning mass with charge and magnetic dipole

For completeness, we rewrite the results of the pole-dipole example used by Hoenselaers and Perjés, based on the correct expressions for the moments. Thus, we also assume that the moments of the source are

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 &= m, & Q_0 &= e, \\ P_1 &= iam, & Q_1 &= i\mu e, \\ P_n &= 0, \quad \text{and} \quad Q_n = 0, \text{ for } n \geq 2. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Then, if we invert the expressions of Eqs. (24,25) we get

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 &= m \\ m_1 &= iam \\ m_2 &= 0 \\ m_3 &= 0 \\ m_4 &= \frac{1}{7}am(am^2 - \mu e^2) + \frac{3}{70}m\mu e^2(a - \mu) \\ m_5 &= -i \left[\frac{1}{21}am(a^2m^2 - \mu^2e^2) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} q_0 &= e \\ q_1 &= i\mu e \\ q_2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
q_3 &= 0 \\
q_4 &= \frac{1}{7}\mu e(am^2 - \mu e^2) + \frac{3}{70}eam^2(a - \mu) \\
q_5 &= -i \left[\frac{1}{21}\mu e(a^2m^2 - \mu^2e^2) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Although $m_2 = q_2 = m_3 = q_3 = 0$, all higher order m_i and q_i do not vanish. Especially, if we set $\mu = a$,

$$\begin{aligned}
m_0 &= m \\
m_1 &= iam \\
m_2 &= 0 \\
m_3 &= 0 \\
m_4 &= \frac{1}{7}a^2m(m^2 - e^2) \\
m_5 &= -i \left[\frac{1}{21}a^3m(m^2 - e^2) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
q_0 &= e \\
q_1 &= iae \\
q_2 &= 0 \\
q_3 &= 0 \\
q_4 &= \frac{1}{7}a^2e(m^2 - e^2) \\
q_5 &= -i \left[\frac{1}{21}a^3e(m^2 - e^2) \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

which are exactly what the formulae of Hoenselaers and Perjés yield. If on the other hand we set $e^2 = m^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
m_0 &= m \\
m_1 &= iam \\
m_2 &= 0 \\
m_3 &= 0 \\
m_4 &= m^3 \left(\frac{1}{7}a + \frac{3}{70}\mu \right) (a - \mu) \\
m_5 &= -i \left[\frac{1}{21}am^3(a^2 - \mu^2) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
q_0 &= e \\
q_1 &= i\mu e \\
q_2 &= 0 \\
q_3 &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
q_4 &= e^3 \left(\frac{1}{7} \mu + \frac{3}{70} a \right) (a - \mu) \\
q_5 &= -i \left[\frac{1}{21} \mu e^3 (a^2 - \mu^2) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

which do not coincide with the corresponding expressions of Hoenselaers and Perjés. Of course if $e^2 = m^2$ and $\mu = a$, then all q_i and m_i except the first pair of them vanish.

5. The Kerr-Newman metric

In this section we follow the technique presented here to compute the gravitational and electromagnetic moments of a Kerr-Newman black hole. Ernst [2] constructs this metric in prolate spheroidal coordinates (x, y) , by setting

$$\xi_E = \frac{x + iay}{m}, \quad q_E = \frac{e}{m}. \tag{34}$$

The ξ we have used in our paper (and in the majority of relevant papers) is the inverse of Ernst's (compare Eq. (2) of the present paper with Eq. (10) of [1]), and $q = q_E \xi$. Additionally, we will use the opposite sign for a from the one used by Ernst, since his convention leads to a spinning black hole with its spin along the $-z$ direction for positive a (see footnote 24 of Israel [13]). Thus,

$$\xi = \frac{m}{x - iay}, \quad q = \frac{e}{x - iay}. \tag{35}$$

At the axis of the black hole ($\rho = \bar{\rho} = 0$), the prolate spheroidal coordinates are $y = 1$, and $x = z$. Therefore, the Ernst potentials along the axis take the following form

$$\xi(\bar{\rho} = 0) = \frac{m}{z - ia} = \frac{m\bar{z}}{1 - ia\bar{z}}, \quad q(\bar{\rho} = 0) = \frac{e}{m} \xi(\bar{\rho} = 0), \tag{36}$$

and finally the conformally transformed potentials are

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\xi}(\bar{\rho} = 0) &= \frac{m}{1 - ia\bar{z}} = m \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (ia\bar{z})^l \\
\tilde{q}(\bar{\rho} = 0) &= \frac{e}{1 - ia\bar{z}} = e \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (ia\bar{z})^l.
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Now it is straightforward to read the multipole moments of such a source. It is easy to see that in this case, as in the case of Kerr metric, $M_{ij} = Q_{ij} = S_{ij} = H_{ij} = 0$, so the m_i 's and q_i 's coincide with the actual moments.

$$\begin{aligned}
P_l &= m(ia)^l, \\
Q_l &= e(ia)^l.
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

This set of moments fully defines the gravitational and electromagnetic field of a Kerr-Newman black hole.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Prof. Manko for drawing our attention to the odd convention for a used by Ernst [1] to describe the Kerr-Newman metric. This research was supported in part by Grant No 70/4/4056 of the Special Account for Research Grants of the University of Athens, and in part by the “PYTHAGORAS” research funding program.

References

- [1] Ernst F J 1968 *Phys. Rev.* **167** 1175
- [2] Ernst F J 1968 *Phys. Rev.* **168** 1415
- [3] Geroch R 1970 *J. Math. Phys.* **11** 2580
- [4] Hansen R O 1974 *J. Math. Phys.* **15** 46
- [5] Thorne K S 1980 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **52** 299
- [6] Simon W and Beig R 1983 *J. Math. Phys.* **24** 1163
- [7] Simon W 1984 *J. Math. Phys.* **25** 1035
- [8] Hoenselaers C and Perjés Z 1990 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **7** 1819
- [9] Fodor G, Hoenselaers C and Perjés Z 1989 *J. Math. Phys.* **30** 2252
- [10] Sotiriou T P and Apostolatos T A in preparation
- [11] Ryan F D 1995 *Phys. Rev. D* **52** 5707
- [12] Papapetrou A 1953 *Ann. Phys.* **12**, 309
- [13] Israel W 1970 *Phys. Rev. D* **2** 641